



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 498

February Session, 2012

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 51

House of Representatives, April 17, 2012

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. FOX, G. of the 146th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
2 number 21966 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim
3 against the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of
4 Christina Ayala, is confirmed.

5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
6 22691 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
7 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of William
8 Connelly, is confirmed.

9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
10 22469 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
11 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Charles J.
12 Ford, is confirmed.

13 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
14 22309 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
15 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Kevin
16 Holmes, is confirmed.

17 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
18 22489 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
19 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Cecelia
20 Lebby, is confirmed.

21 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
22 22524 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
23 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Cecelia
24 Lebby, is confirmed.

25 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
26 22569 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
27 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Cecelia
28 Lebby, is confirmed.

29 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
30 22638 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
31 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Cecelia
32 Lebby, is confirmed.

33 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
34 22403 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
35 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Randal
36 Licari, is confirmed.

37 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
38 22415 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
39 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Maurice
40 McElveen, is confirmed.

41 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
42 22432 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
43 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Maurice

44 McElveen, is confirmed.

45 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
46 22449 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
47 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Richard
48 Rogue, is confirmed.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 12 \$	FY 13 \$
Various State Agencies; State Comptroller Miscellaneous - Adjudicated Claims Account	Various - Cost Avoidance	More than \$950.1 billion	None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The table below summarizes the sections of the resolution which accept the recommendation of the Claims Commissioner to dismiss claims against the state. The resolution thereby avoids potential state costs of more than \$950.1 billion for any judgments that might be rendered against it along with associated litigation costs.

Section	File Number	Claimant	Demand Amount \$
1	21966	Ayala, Christina	15,000 ¹
2	22691	Connelly, William	2,500,000
3	22469	Ford, Charles J.	3,000,000
4	22309	Holmes, Kevin	5,000 ¹
5	22489	Lebby, Cecilia	Unknown
6	22524	Lebby, Cecilia	70,000,000
7	22569	Lebby, Cecilia	950,000,000,000
8	22638	Lebby, Cecilia	1,000,000
9	22403	Licari, Randal	5,000 ¹
10	22415	McElveen, Maurice	1,000,000
11	22432	McElveen, Maurice	800,000
12	22449	Rogue, Richard	3,000,000

The Out Years

¹ In addition, permission to sue the state is denied.

There is no fiscal impact in the out years.

Sources: 2012 Ad Damnum List

OLR Bill Analysis

sHJ 51

***RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN
CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF
THE GENERAL STATUTES.***

SUMMARY:

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 43 Nay 1 (04/02/2012)